

Guidelines for authors

New Medit is an applied economics journal, with a multidisciplinary approach, aimed at providing insights into the economic and the social transformations of agro-food sector, rural societies as well as local development and bioeconomy in the Mediterranean Basin.

Manuscripts submitted to NEW MEDIT generally should deal with wide-ranging topics that can be extended to other countries where organizational, production and market conditions and the related development policies may emerge at the corporate or regional level.

Submission of an article implies that all Authors have approved the paper for release and agree with its contents.

Upon submission of the article, the Author(s) grant:

• to be the sole author and/or owner of all rights in the Submission and have full power to convey the rights granted.

• the Submission does not infringe any copyright or other rights of any party.

• the Submission does not contain matter that is obscene, defamatory, objectionable, indecent, of a libellous or scandalous nature or that violates any party's civil rights, rights of privacy or publicity, or other legal rights, or that is otherwise unlawful.

• if the Submission reproduces any textual, graphic, or photographic material that is the property of any other party and for which permission is required for publication, that he/she has obtained written consent from all such parties.

Type of paper

NEW MEDIT publishes:

- a) Research papers
- b) Review papers
- c) Notes and Commentaries
 - a) **Research papers** include original essays about topics and issues related to Economics, Agriculture, Environment and Food in the Mediterranean Area.

1. Objectives

In research papers it is important to specify the conceptual and empirical background of the analysis. At the same time papers should include both of the following: (i) relevant technical or structural issues (farm- or regional-level environment or natural resources, combined with social sciences), and (ii) substantive analysis and discussion of the interactions within or among rural and agro-food systems components and other economic systems.

Integration across academic disciplines and papers on components of socio-economic changes at regional level with a broad inference space and comparable approach are encouraged.

The following subjects are discouraged:

Pure econometric or statistical methodological contributions; Conceptual frameworks without empirical implementation; Consumer surveys without wider implications with systems analytical approaches as well as context analysis; Rural change studies or results from crop or livestock trials without substantive socio-economic and policy implications in the regional trend; Studies of the operation or efficiency of agricultural or food process without a substantive socio-economic component.

Submission of an article implies that the work described has not published previously (except in form of abstract or as a part of published lecture or as an electronic preprint or internal working paper), that its publication is approved by all authors and tacitly by the responsible authorities where the work was carried out.

2. Structure of research papers: suggestion

Title: clear and concise, it is not necessary a complete sentence and it should relate to key words.

Abstract: it is a short version of the paper so it should summarize background, objectives, methods, results.

Background and objectives: in this section it needs to describe the context analysis, so background and motivation and possibly the innovative contribution of the paper.

Literature/Conceptual framework: it is important to provide a conceptual framework of the subject dealt with based on essential literature references, to provide an appropriate theoretical treatment of the problem.

Methodology: it should contain clear connections with the literature, motivations for the choice of the methodological approach, a clear description of the method and if necessary some mathematical formulation.

Case study or research activity: describe the empirical case or data set considering the study area, data sources and empirical model adopted. It could be included in the Methodology

Results: using tables and figures describe the most important results giving some economic interpretation without being very long

Discussion/Implications: it could be included in the results, anyway it needs to define some principles or relationship with the context, clarify some specific points and confront the results obtained with the existing literature or previous trends.

Conclusions: authors don't need to repeat the results, but it is important to point out the main economic or policy implications ensuing from the research conducted and/or to outline future perspectives for the development of the phenomena investigated.

Acknowledgements (if necessary)

References: please cite only relevant contributions following the instructions provided in the "guidelines for authors"; a maximum number of 40-50 references is recommended.

3. Procedure

Submission to this journal proceeds totally online and you will be guided stepwise through the creation and uploading your files in word format. The system automatically converts your file to a single PDF file, which is used in the peer-review process.

This journal operates a double-blind review process. All contributions will be initially assessed by the editor for suitability for the journal. Paper deemed suitable are then typically sent to a minimum of two independent expert reviewers to assess the scientific quality of the paper. The Editor is responsible for the final decision regarding acceptance or rejection of article.

To submit your paper please click here: https://newmedit.ciheam.org

You will be guided stepwise through the creation and uploading of the various files. All correspondence, including notification of the Editor's decision and requests for revision, take place by platform or e-mail.

Author needs to submit the names and institutional e-mail addresses of some potential referees. Note that the editor retains the sole right to decide whether or not the suggested reviewer is used.

4. Registration

For author: author needs to register filling in all information in the screen. Then he receives a password to complete all steps for the submission process and to follow the status of the manuscript. The author can check the status of the submitted paper through the appropriate button using the password.

For reviewer : Reviewer author needs to register filling in all information in the screen, then they receive a password for the peer review process. He will receive a certification confirming the contribution as reviewer of the specific paper.

Authors before submitting paper ensure that the following items are present:

One author has been designated as the corresponding author with contact details:

• E-mail address

- Full postal address
- All necessary files have been uploaded:
- Include keywords
- All figures (include relevant captions)
- All tables (including titles, description, footnotes).
- Ensure all figure and table citations in the text match the files provided
- References are in the correct format for this journal
- All references mentioned in the References list are in the text, and vice versa.

5. Submission

Authors must respect the submission procedures in order to guarantee the proper anonymization of the content. All references to authors or research groups must be removed from the manuscript. A manuscript that does not meet the criterion of anonymization cannot be formally accepted, regardless of the quality of its contents.

Manuscripts should be prepared according to the instructions below:

- LANGUAGE: English or French
- TITLE: concise but enough detailed, without abbreviations

• ABSTRACT: in English. The length should not exceed 1200 characters (including spaces). The abstract should briefly summarize the aim, findings or purpose of the article. Please minimize the use of abbreviations and do not cite references in the abstract.

• KEYWORDS: Three to ten keywords representing the main content of the article.

• SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: Three to five JEL classification codes representing the main content of the article. The JEL classification system was developed for use in the Journal of Economic Literature (JEL), and is a standard method of classifying scholarly literature in the field of economics. The system is used to classify articles, dissertations, books, book reviews, and working papers in EconLit, and in many other applications. For descriptions and examples:

https://www.aeaweb.org/econlit/jelCodes.php?view=jel

• HEADINGS: the following headings should be provided in the manuscript: introduction, paragraphs (materials, methods, description, results and discussion) with a short title, conclusions, acknowledgments (if required), references. All the headings should be numbered.

Introduction

The Introduction section should explain the background to the study, its aims, a summary of the existing literature and why this study was necessary.

Results

This should include the findings of the study including, if appropriate, results of statistical analysis which must be included either in the text or as tables and figures.

Discussion (can be combined in 'Results and Discussion' section) his section should discuss the implications of the findings in context of existing research and highlight limitations of the study.

Conclusions

This should state clearly the main conclusions and provide an explanation of the importance and relevance of the study to the field so outline some economic and policy implications and perspectives.

• REFERENCES: they should include only publications mentioned in the text of the manuscript. Citations in the text should observe the usual format requirements: (Ansoff, 1965). References to unpublished information (reports, personal communications, etc.) should be reported in parentheses in the text: (Rossi, pers. comm., 1987).

Reference styles:

Periodicals: Roberto Capone, Hamid El Bilali, Francesco Bottalico (2016). Assessing the Sustainability of Typical Agrp-Food Products: Insight from Apulia Region, Italy. *New Medit, A Mediterranean Journal of Economics, Agricultural, Environment and Food*, Vol 15, n. 1, pp. 28-35 Books: Ansoff H.I. (1965). Corporate strategy, McGraw-Hill, New York, 280 pages.

Edited symposia or chapter of book: Eymard-Duvernay F., 1995. La négociation de la qualité. Nicolas F., Valceschini (Edited by), *Agroalimentaire: une économie de la qualité*. INRA Ed. Economica, Paris, 39-48.

If there are more than two authors, the first name will be followed by "et al" in the text but the names should be spelt out in full in the reference list.

Web references: as a minimum, the full URL should be given and the date when the reference was last accessed. Any further information, if known (DOI, author names, dates ectc..) should also be given. Web references can be listed separately.

Abbreviated titles of periodicals should conform to the rules of World List of Science Periodicals. MATH

• FORMULAE: Present simple formulae in the line of normal text where possible and use the solidus (/) instead of a horizontal line for small factional terms, e.g. X/Y.

- TABLES: tables included in the text should be numbered consecutively with an explicative title, units of measurement, a legend and relative references written in italics. Tables must be attached separately in the file in original format (Excel or Word).
- GRAPHS AND DRAWINGS: graphs and drawings included in the text should be in black and white, with titles of axes and without any outline, grid or background in the tracing area. Graphs must be attached separately in the file in original format (Excel, Word)
- ILLUSTRATIONS: photographs (slides, preferably), rigorously in black and white, should be numbered and lettered. They must be attached separately.
- FOOTNOTES: Footnotes should be used sparingly. Number them consecutively throughout the article, using superscript Arabic numbers.
- LENGTH (min/max): from 35,000 to 50,000 characters (including spaces). The number of illustrations, tables and graphs should be proportional to the length of the text.

Submission Cheklist

Once Author designatet as corresponding Author:

- E-mail address
- Full postal address
- Thelephone

All necessary files have been uploaded

Keywords

All figure captions

All tables (including title, description, footnotes)

Further consideration

Manuscript has been spellchecked and grammar-checked

References are in the correct format for this journal

All references mentioned in the Reference list are in the cited in the text and vice versa.

IMPORTANT

To maintain the anonymity of the manuscript, please upload separately in different files all the above information:

1) manuscript in anonymous form, with title, abstract, keywords, and Jel classification.

2) a cover with the title of the manuscript, name, surname, affiliation and email of all authors and highlight the corresponding author.

- 3) Acknowledgements (if necessary)
- 4) Figures.
- 5) Tables

6. Response to Reviewers

Authors receive an invitation to revise and resubmit their manuscript when the first version of their manuscript has potential for publication but is not quite ready for final acceptance.

Authors should address the feedback from reviewers in a response to reviewers. A response to reviewers specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made. The response to reviewers is usually organized by presenting reviewers' comments one by one, followed by the authors' response.

Authors should distinguish their responses from the reviewers' comments by using phrases such as "author response" and/or a different font color. Then, each response should clearly explain the change made and where that change can be found in the revised manuscript (i.e., page number, paragraph, and/or line).

Authors should also include a cover letter with the complete manuscript title, the authors' names, and the manuscript number to accompany the response to reviewers and the revised manuscript. *To synthesize:*

Submit a separate Word document explaining the changes you made in response to the comments of the Editor and Reviewer(s). Enumerate each relevant comment from each Reviewer (and Editor, if relevant) in bold and include your response/description of changes beneath each comment. Rather than reprinting any new material in its entirety, refer instead to the page number on which the changes may be found.

Separately upload the revised final version.

7. After Acceptance

PROOFS

One set of page proof (as PDF files) will be sent by e-mail to the corresponding author or, a link will be provided in the e-mail so that authors can download the files themselves and make corrections.

Instructions on how to annotate PDF files will accompany the proofs.

If you do not wish to use the PDF annotation function, you may list the corrections and return them to Publisher Editorial Office in an e-mail. Please, list your corrections quoting line number and page.

Please use this proof only for checking the typesetting, editing, completeness and correctness of the text, tables and figures. Significant changes to the article as accepted for publication will only be considered at this stage with permission from the Editor. All corrections should be sent back in one communication as soon as possible, within 72 hours.

USE OF THE DIGITAL OBJECT IDENTIFIER

The Digital Object Identifier (DOI) may be used to cite and link to electronic documents. The DOI consists of a unique alpha-numeric character string which is assigned to a document by the publisher upon the initial electronic publication.

OFF PRINT

The corresponding author, at no cost, will be provided with a PDF file of the article via e-mail. For an extra charge, paper offprints can be ordered via offprint order from which is sent once the article is accepted for publication.

b) Review papers

A review paper is based on previously published articles.

Review articles generally summarize the existing literature on a topic in an attempt to explain the current state of understanding on the topic. It should be able to critically examine the state-of-theart and express informed views and provide guidance/ideas of future developments of the research topics faced by NEW MEDIT.

Review papers can be of three kinds:

• A narrative review, explaining the existing knowledge on a topic based on all the published research available on the topic.

• A systematic review, searching for the answer to a particular research question in the existing scientific literature on a topic.

• A meta-analysis, comparing and combining the findings of previously published studies, usually to assess the effectiveness of an intervention or mode of treatment.

Review papers derive form valuable scientific literature as they summarize the findings of existing literature in the fields of economics, agro-food and environment of relevance to Mediterranean Area.

Review papers should not be country-specific, although exceptions may be made for very large regions on issues that effect socio-economic development and bioeconomy components, or for a review of a country experience that is highly relevant to other countries.

c) Notes and Commentaries

The section Notes and commentaries refers to the dissemination of news related to the disciplinary fields of NEW MEDIT provided by conferences, official documents, political decision and national and international on-going research. The section will also include short papers and comments on topics inherent to topical debates and discussions of texts relevant to the aims and purposes of NEW MEDIT.

The recommended length is 8.000-10.000 strokes.

Notes can be submitted directly by e-mail at the address: newmedit@ciheam.org or through the web site: <u>https://newmedit.ciheam.org/</u> after registration and specifying that is a note.